

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/643,801	08/18/2003	Sanjay Bhanot	RTS-0678US	4755
56907 75	590 08/28/2006		EXAMINER	
ELMORE PATENT LAW GROUP			ANGELL, JON E	
209 MAIN STREET N. CHELMSFORD, MA 01863			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1635	

DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	•			
Office Action Summary		1	0/643,801	BHANOT ET AL.				
		E	kaminer	Art Unit				
			on Eric Angell	1635				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appear	s on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nestons of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to teply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE 7 CFR 1.136(a) pation. ry period will ap by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUNI In no event, however, may a oply and will expire SIX (6) MON se the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n 14 June	2006.					
·			tion is non-final.					
'	<u>-</u>							
-,-	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·	·					
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) 1,3-9,11-18,22-40,44 and 49-57 is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18,23-40 and 49-57</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	☐ Claim(s) 60 is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1,3-9,11-17,22,44,58 and 59</u> is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) <u>1.3-3,17-77,22,44,35 and 33</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or ele	ection requirement.					
•			·					
Applicati	on Papers							
-	The specification is objected to by the E							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37.CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for	cuments ha cuments ha he priority Bureau (P	ave been received. ave been received in A documents have been CT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this Nationa	ıl Stage			
Attachmen	• •							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	.048)	4) LI Interview S	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔯 Infor	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/06; 11/05</u> .			nformal Patent Application (P1	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/643,801 Page 2

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed 6/14/06 is acknowledged. The amendment has been entered.

Applicant's arguments are addressed on a per section basis. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this Action can be found in a prior Office Action. Any rejections not reiterated in this action have been withdrawn as being obviated by the amendment of the claims and/or applicant's arguments.

Status of the Claims

Claims 1, 3-18, 22-40, 44, 49-57 are currently pending in the application and are addressed herein.

Claims 18, 23-40, 49-57 have withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention there being no allowable generic or linking claim for the reasons of record see Action dated 9/19/05. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 6/27/2005.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 11/22/2005 and 5/10/20006 are acknowledged. The submissions are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97.

Accordingly, the information disclosure statements are being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Art Unit: 1635

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 3-9, 11, 12, 14-17, 22, 44, 58, 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The Written Description Guidelines for examination of patent applications indicates, "the written description requirement for a claimed genus may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction to practice, or by disclosure of relevant, identifying characteristics, i.e. structure or other physical and/or other chemical properties, by functional characteristics coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between function and structure, or by a combination of such identifying characteristics, sufficient to show applicant was in possession of the claimed genus." (See MPEP 2100-164)

In the instant case, the claims encompass a compound 12-50 nucleotides in length which are targeted to SEQ ID NO: 4, wherein the compound has at least 80% (claim 1), at least 90% (claim 11) and at least 95% (claim 12) complementarity to SEQ ID NO:4 and wherein the compound comprises at least 8 nucleotides of SEQ ID NO: 35. Therefore, the claims encompass a genus of molecules which are different than those explicitly disclosed in the specification. It is noted that the genus encompass an enormous number of different molecules. For instance, an oligonucleotide that is 50 nucleotides in length and which is 80% identical to SEQ ID NO: 4

Art Unit: 1635

encompass a 50mer where 10 nucleotides are different than the target sequence. Since there are four different alternatives for each of the 10 differences (i.e., the 3 different nucleotides and also no nucleotide), the claims encompass more than 4¹⁰ (i.e., more than a million) different molecules. Furthermore, the genus is not just limited to four different alternatives for each of the 10 differences but also to those embodiments which have greater than 80% complementarity. That is, in addition to the 10 different nucleotides the genus also encompasses the four different alternatives for 9 different nucleotides (i.e., 41 out of 50 matches), 8 different nucleotides (42 out of 50 matches), 7 different nucleotides, and so forth. Therefore, the claims encompass millions of different molecules. It is noted that the specification has disclosed only one specific sequence of this genus (SEQ ID NO: 35). Accordingly, in the absence of sufficient recitation of distinguishing identifying characteristics, the specification does not provide adequate written description of the claimed genus.

Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 19USPQ2d 1111, clearly states "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117.) The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (See Vas-Cath at page 1116). Here, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of the encompassed genus of molecules, and therefore conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of isolation.

Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method of isolating it. The compound itself is required. See Fiers v.

Art Unit: 1635

Revel, 25 USPQ2d 1601 at 1606 (CAFC 1993) and Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., 18 USPQ2d 1016.

Therefore, nucleic acids set forth in SEQ ID NO: 35 meets the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. Applicant is reminded that Vas-Cath makes clear that the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112 is severable from its enablement provision (see page 1115).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 60 is allowed.

Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejections of record have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jon Eric Angell whose telephone number is 571-272-0756. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, with every other Friday off.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,801 Page 6

Art Unit: 1635

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Paras can be reached on 571-272-4517. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JON ANGELL PATENT EXAMINER

J.E. Angell AU 1635